

**PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE –  
WEDNESDAY, 12 APRIL 2017**

**UPDATES FOR COMMITTEE**

- 7. COMMITTEE UPDATES (Pages 1 - 2)**

This page is intentionally left blank

## PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE - 12 APRIL 2017

### UPDATES FOR COMMITTEE

#### **3 (a) - Old Forge, Salisbury Road, Breamore (Application 16/10602)**

The officer's recommendation is changed to "**That consideration of this application be deferred**". This is because further evidence has been submitted by the applicant's agent, in the past week, in respect of the marketing of the site. It is felt that this additional evidence should be the subject of further consultation with the Parish Council, neighbours and the Council's Estates and Valuation team. The results of this additional consultation will then be reflected in an updated Committee report to a future meeting. Deferral will also allow the Local Planning Authority to seek an elevational plan showing the first floor window that is proposed for retention.

#### **Item 3d - Land South of Old Ferry House, Undershore Road, Boldre (Application 16/11137)**

The Conservation Officer considers that the current proposal has made some significant improvements since the previously rejected application, and detailed planning conditions will be required to cover the landscaping, materials and design of the road layout. However, the Conservation Officer still has some concerns in relation to the position of the access track across the natural open space which positively contributes to the setting of the Conservation Area and its wider landscape setting.

#### **Item 3h - 3 The Close, Whitsbury (Application 17/10063)**

A letter of support has been received from the agent setting out his response to comments received from the Conservation Officer. The principle points raised are:

- Comments on the alteration to form the post office in the 1970's do not mention that by far the majority of the historic, internal, features have been removed.
- The application is not for a full 2 storey extension. Rather it is for a modest 1 and a half storey extension set back from the front line of the original house.
- As noted by the Conservation Officer a key aspect of this building's significance lies in its diminutive form and the near completeness of its timber frame.
- The location of the new element has been placed on the footprint of the existing extension and on the north side of the cottage, away from public view.
- Drawings have been sent showing the impact of the extension on the timber frame and the way the fire place is intended to be altered.
- The form of the extension is not inappropriate.

#### **Item 3i - 3 The Close, Whitsbury (Application 17/10064)**

There are two letters supporting this application and not one as reported

A letter of support has been received from the agent setting out his response to comments received from the Conservation Officer. The principle points raised are:

- Comments on the alteration to form the post office in the 1970's do not mention that by far the majority of the historic, internal, features have been removed.
- The application is not for a full 2 storey extension. Rather it is for a modest 1 and a half storey extension set back from the front line of the original house.
- As noted by the Conservation Officer a key aspect of this building's significance lies in its diminutive form and the near completeness of its timber frame.
- The location of the new element has been placed on the footprint of the existing extension and on the north side of the cottage, away from public view.
- Drawings have been sent showing the impact of the extension on the timber frame and the way the fire place is intended to be altered.
- The form of the extension is not inappropriate.

**Item 3k - Limewood, Lime Walk, Dibden Purlieu, Hythe (Application 17/10108)**

A letter of objection has been received from the owner of the adjacent property known as "Wayside" on the grounds of the loss of light to their side bedroom window.